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Soon after they observed and drew the moon in its different phases for a month, the sixth-grade students sat huddled together on the floor in the main atrium of their U.S. middle school. Their teacher Ms. Thompson (pseudonym) held up a black and yellow foam ball, stating, "This is the moon." A student explained to the class that the bright half of the ball represented the part of the moon that was lit by the sun, while the dark half represented the side of the moon that was not lit by the sun. Ms. Thompson pointed down a long hallway, at the end of which the sun's rays shone brightly through glass doors. "That is the sun," she said, making sure she stood so that the sun's rays hit the side of the moon that was yellow. Ms. Thompson then informed her students, "You are the earth." With the moon held high, she revolved around her students in a circle, asking them each to record what they saw of the moon as she stood in different positions. Her students told her when it was a full moon, a new moon, and a quarter moon, depending on where she was standing.

Intrigued by what would happen next, Ms. Thompson's students followed her into a dark storage closet. This time, they stood in a circle, surveying the room with no windows, lit only by a lamp in the center that represented the sun. Each student's head was now the earth, and the moon was a polystyrene ball stuck onto a pencil in each student's hand. Leading students through a series of turns, Ms. Thompson demonstrated again how the moon's revolution around the earth caused different lunar phases. Students returned to their assigned tables in their classrooms as they began their responses to the prompt, "Explain what causes the phases of the moon." As they sat and discussed this prompt in cooperative groups, Ms. Thompson walked around the room to field questions and to help students write their explanations.

Ms. Thompson's lesson was exemplary for many reasons. It demonstrated her high degree of expertise in using pedagogical content knowledge to make potentially difficult concepts understandable to students (Shulman, 1987). As Shulman explained, excellent content area teachers comprehend difficult concepts and can then "transform" them into multiple "representations" such as "analogies, metaphors, examples, demonstrations, explanations, and so forth" (p. 15) that meet the unique educational needs of particular classrooms. Indeed, Ms. Thompson transformed her content knowledge "into forms that [were] pedagogically powerful" (p. 15), and many students came away with an understanding of the phases of the moon, as evidenced by their subsequent writings.

Yet when one student returned to the classroom without writing and finally asked Ms. Thompson to explain the phases of the moon to him, he revealed the potential limitations of simply transforming content from one type of representation (e.g., a textbook) into another (e.g., a demonstration) to make concepts understandable to some students. Nonprint forms of representation-such as demonstrations, videos, and diagrams—are themselves texts. Moreover, just as students deserve explicit instruction on how to access the content in texts with words, they also deserve explicit instruction on how to access the content in other types of texts. Furthermore, they deserve explicit instruction on how to represent content in a variety of textual forms themselves.

These textual forms are at the very heart of science (Lemke, 1998). For instance, students must "read" and be able to make sense of a threedimensional model of the digestive system, just as they must be able to make sense of any accompanying explanatory written text. Ms. Thompson understood this principle and supplemented her unit with models, demonstrations, and videos of the earth in

Table 1
Teaching Multimodal Texts in Science
$\left.\begin{array}{|ll}\hline \text { Principle for teaching multimodal texts } & \text { Examples of specific applications }\end{array} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}\text { Students should be metacognitive, or aware } \\ \text { of the status of their own comprehension, as } \\ \text { they make sense of multimodal science texts. }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { Teachers can conduct a think-aloud on a lab or } \\ \text { demonstration, including modeling for students } \\ \text { where they don't understand why a physical reaction } \\ \text { happened, and then ask their students to do the same } \\ \text { during labs. }\end{array}\right.\right]$
taking available preexisting designs and transforming them into a redesigned text. According to this theory, the creators of texts choose from a variety of available templates (charts, diagrams, gestures, written texts, websites, photographs, computer graphics, videos, comics, any combination of these, and more) to make a redesigned text using the templates of preexisting texts.

When the principle of design is applied to this particular lesson, students could be asked to imagine they were creating their own website in which they would explain the causes of lunar phases. Ideally, after the modeling and discussing of several example texts, students would be able to design a digital text. Alternatively, due to some classes' limited access to up-to-date computer labs, students might complete this activity without a computer as well, simply by imagining what they would do in a website. In either case, students would have to explain why they chose different forms of representation (e.g., moving images, expository text with headings, labeled still
photographs of the moon, or a scientist's journal entries) to convey content.

Furthermore, they could subsequently discuss the affordances and limitations of their selected textual forms when they shared their proposed designs in groups or with the class. According to Pauwels (2006), "The issue of representation touches upon the very essence of all scientific activity. What is known and passed on as science is the result of a series of representational practices" (p. vii). Other scientists have echoed his assertion as well (e.g., Lemke, 1998), underscoring the importance of explicitly teaching students how to read and write different types of representations as a key component of scientific literacy. As a result, teachers cannot be content to encourage students to apply comprehension strategies as they read words on a printed page, no matter how important and useful those strategies might be. Instead teachers can open up conversations about the mode of the text itself—about how the designer of the text is conveying, omitting, and creating certain aspects
of reality as he or she uses certain representational modes. These conversations can also encourage students to be self-reflective as they, in turn, create fitting scientific representations.

## The Content Area Classroom and Beyond

As students evaluate multiple forms of representation, and as they design texts in different modes, they may not only understand discipline-specific concepts more fully, but also they may become more adept designers of multimodal texts. This skill is crucial in today's world, where students are faced with a vast array of modes and representations on computer, television, and cell phone screens. Furthermore, technology continually changes so that today's instruction on reading and designing texts may inevitably be insufficient tomorrow. Teachers-including those in the content areas-may not be able to teach students how to read and design all forms of texts. But they can open up discussions that cause students to reflect on different representations, on how these representations construct certain aspects of reality and omit others, and on how comprehension strategies might be used across various modes. Rather than detracting from content, this type of literacy instruction enhances it: Students understand scientific content better when they can present it in more than one mode (Prain \& Waldrip, 2006), and they become more critical readers and designers when they can interrogate the affordances and limitations of a text.

Preparing students to be literate in the 21st century is no easy task. Students encounter multiple representations of content in school and an ever-increasing range of textual forms outside of school. To help students meet these challenges, it will take the concerted efforts of teachers across the content areas to support their students in understanding, critiquing, and designing a variety of texts. Students who learn how to evaluate and create texts using multiple types of representations will be better prepared to
become powerful and critical participants in school and society, now and in the years to come.

## Note
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